Living system adapts harmonics of peristaltic wave for cost-efficient optimization of pumping performance
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Wavelike patterns driving transport are ubiquitous in life. Peristaltic pumps are a paradigm of efficient mass transport by contraction driven flows - often limited by energetic constraints. We show that a cost-efficient increase in pumping performance can be achieved by modulating the phase difference between harmonics to increase occlusion. In experiments we find a phase difference shift in the living peristalsis model *P. polycephalum* as dynamic response to forced mass transport. Our findings provide a novel metric for wavelike patterns and demonstrate the crucial role of non-linearities in life.

Wavelike patterns are ubiquitous in life. They range from everyday phenomena such as gut peristalsis [1], undulatory locomotion of worm-like organisms [2] or the cardiac cycle [3], to microscopic waves, such as ciliary and flagellar beating [4], gene oscillation [5] or reaction-diffusion patterns [6]. The patterns’ functions vary, but it is noteworthy that they often relate directly to transport or locomotion. Each system oscillates in distinct characteristics, for example body shape [7] or molecule concentration [8], yet all systems are governed by the limited set of a wave’s parameters – wavelength, amplitude, and frequency. Moreover parameter choice is limited by physical or biological constraints. Which strategies does a living system use to fulfill the wave’s function given its constraints?

Environmental changes challenge living systems to adapt, forcing alteration of wave dynamics given the limited set of wave parameters while additionally staying within its constraints. As an example, the nematode *C. elegans* changes its locomotion depending on the viscoelasticity of its environment by modulating undulation wavelength, amplitude, and frequency of its wave-shaped body [9]. Yet, this adaptation goes hand in hand with changes in the energy cost of the wave, often the most limiting constraint of life [10, 11].

While a sinusoidal wave shape offers few parameters to adjust, some living systems instead use a superposition of waves. Examples are the peristaltic contractions of the human gut [12] or the flagellar beating of human spermatozoa [13]. The superposition of multiple waves allows a modulation of the total wave shape, thereby increasing the parameter space available when responding to environmental changes. In particular, for a set of harmonic waves, we here introduce the phase difference between them as a new parameter. How much control over a waves’ total performance resides in the phase difference between superposed harmonic waves given limited wave energy cost?

The paradigm for wave driven mass transport and locomotion is peristaltic pumping [14, 15]. Here, mass transport is driven by radial contractions traveling along a tube which cause net transport of the enclosed fluid, see Fig. 1. The contractions can be considered as periodic train waves with the characteristic wave parameters defining the flow rate. To this point it has been unclear how peristaltic pumping performance can increase in a cost efficient manner, since changing the wave’s symmetry has been shown to have a negligible effect on the pumping performance [16]. To resolve this puzzle we turn to living systems forced to transport mass in response to environmental cues.

The network-forming slime mold *Physarum polycephalum* has gained broad attention as a living system using peristalsis [17–19]. Its interconnected tubes contract rhythmically, driving fluid flow throughout the network [19]. The tube walls are made of acto-myosin and behave as an active viscoelastic material pumping the cytoplasmic fluid back and forth in a shuttle flow [20, 21].
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**FIG. 1.** Scheme of tube as peristaltic pump and its elastic energy. (A) Deformation of the tube boundary $H(x,t) = H_0 + \nu r$ from the rest radius $H_0$ increases the elastic energy proportionally to the stretching energy density $\phi_s$ with a prefactor $\tilde{E}$. (B) Scheme of a tube acting as a peristaltic pump. The deformation of the tube boundary $H(x,t)$ over time drives radial, $u_r$, and axial, $u_x$, flow velocities and thus flow rate $Q(x,t)$ in the tube.
In response to environmental changes, the slime mold rapidly migrates toward attractive stimuli or away from repellents, efficiently turning the periodic shuttle flow into a net mass transport [22–25]. For *P. polycephalum* the dominant contraction frequency and its second harmonic make up the bulk of its oscillation modes which render it an ideal candidate to investigate the control of wave parameters on pumping performance in a living system [26].

In this letter, we investigate how a living system can control its waves’ performance in a cost-efficient manner. Specifically, we study the performance of a peristaltic pump by evaluating the elastic deformation energy of its contraction wave. The wave train consists of a dominant wave and its second harmonic introducing the phase difference between them as an additional adjustable parameter. We analytically show that the flow rate can be controlled by adjusting the phase difference while optimizing the required elastic energy for deformation. At the optimal phase difference the resulting wave shape occludes the tube most tightly, which optimizes pumping performance by over 25% under physiological conditions. Investigating the peristaltic waves in *P. polycephalum*, we initially find that unforced specimen favour minimal occlusion. Only when forced to transport mass by a phototactic stimulus, specimens adjust their phase differences toward maximal occlusion optimal for pumping performance. Here, the phase difference serves as a subtle, but powerful parameter, in line with our theoretical predictions. To elucidate how the living system self-organizes its pumping performance we discuss non-linear tube wall visco-elasticity or active processes as a putative control for the phase difference adaption.

A peristaltic pump drives the directed transport of fluid through a circular tube by a periodic wave train of contractions [14, 15]. We consider a single tube of shape \( H(x, t) \) and length \( L \) filled with an incompressible Newtonian fluid extending along longitudinal \( x \) and radial \( r \) coordinates, see Fig. 1. In the limit of a long slender tube \( L \gg H(x, t) \) the lubrication approximation applies [27], simplifying the equations governing the axial and radial flow velocity, \( u_x(x, r, t) \) and \( u_r(x, r, t) \) respectively, to

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial r} \right), \quad \frac{\partial (ru_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} = 0, \tag{1}
\]

where \( p(x, t) \) denotes the pressure and \( \mu \) the fluid’s viscosity. Specifying a no-slip boundary condition at the wall then fully defines the flows as a function of pressure gradient \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \) and tube wall shape \( H(x, t) \). The pumping performance solely due to the periodic wave train, i.e. without an applied pressure gradient, is then specified by the time-averaged volume flow rate \( \bar{Q}_0 \) over the wave period \( T \) [15],

\[
\bar{Q}_0 = \frac{2\pi}{T} \frac{\int_0^T \int_0^L \int_0^{x_2} \frac{\partial H^2(x_1, t)}{\partial t} \, dx_1 \, dx_2}{\int_0^L H^{-4}(x, t) \, dx}. \tag{2}
\]

To investigate how higher harmonics affect the pumping performance we assume the wall shape to be a superposition of two sinusoidal waves: a dominant wave and its second harmonic,

\[
H_{1+2}(\xi, \Delta \vartheta) = H_0 + A_1 \cos(2\pi \xi) + A_2 \cos(4\pi \xi + \Delta \vartheta), \tag{3}
\]

where \( \xi(x, t) = x/\lambda - t/T \), with \( \lambda \) the wavelength of the dominant wave, and \( \Delta \vartheta \) the phase difference of the second harmonic with respect to the dominant wave, see Fig. 2A. Note, that the wavelength \( \lambda \) and tube length \( L \) can differ, but its effect is negligible on the pumping performance for \( L \geq \lambda \) [15]. \( H_0 \) is the radius baseline around which the tube oscillates and \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are the amplitudes of the dominant wave and its second harmonic, respectively. Furthermore, the tube’s thin wall gets deformed from its baseline \( H_0 \) and stores a total elastic

\[\text{FIG. 2. Phase difference } \Delta \vartheta \text{ between a dominant wave and its second harmonic controls flow rate by adjusting occlusion. (A) Scheme of dominant wave (yellow) and second harmonic (red) and their superposition (green dashed). Occlusion is maximal for } \Delta \vartheta = \pi; \text{ where the minima of dominant wave and second harmonic align (right panel, compare arrows). (B) Flow rate and occlusion of } H_{1+2} \text{ wave, Eq. (3), versus the phase difference shows maximal flow rate at } \Delta \vartheta = \pi; \text{ amplitudes represent physiological values for } P. \text{ polycephalum} A_1 = 0.1H_0 \text{ and } A_2 = 0.04H_0. (C) Dependence of flow rate (blue) and occlusion (pink) of } H_{1+2} \text{ wave on amplitude } A_1 \text{ and phase difference (shaded area). Second harmonic amplitude is fixed at } A_2 = 0.4A_1 \text{ as above. Solid line highlights optimal condition at } \Delta \vartheta = \pi.\]
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energy, $\bar{\Phi}$, of, see [28] for derivation,
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Following Eq. (5) the waves’ amplitudes $A_1$ and $A_2$, dominate the elastic energy. The phase difference $\Delta \vartheta$ only contributes to higher order, scaling inversely with $\lambda^2$. For constant amplitudes the energy is minimized for $\Delta \vartheta = \pi$ and maximized for $\Delta \vartheta = (0, 2\pi)$, regardless of the wavelength of the contraction wave. Despite the negligible contribution to the elastic energy, varying the phase difference $\Delta \vartheta$ changes the waveform, see Fig. 2A, and most notably dramatically alters the resulting flow rate following Eq. (2), see Fig. 2B. Flow rate is maximal for $\Delta \vartheta = \pi$, and minimal for $\Delta \vartheta = (0, 2\pi)$. Considering physiological amplitudes of $A_1 = 0.1H_0$ and $A_2 = 0.04H_0$, see [30], the phase difference shift can increase the flow rate by over 25%. Hence, pumping performance of a peristaltic pump can be optimized with a cost-efficient shift towards a phase difference of $\Delta \vartheta = \pi$, thereby even minimizing elastic deformation energy. Note, that this result for a single tube can be extended to networks formed by $P. \text{polycephalum}$ as peri-
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by providing a phototactic stimulus. *P. polycephalum*’s phototactic response is wavelength dependent, with the strongest avoidance reaction to blue light at around 450 nm [41]. We applied blue light with a halogen lamp (Illuminator HXP 200C) and a filter set (Zeiss 38 HE, excitation BP 470/40) continuously from light onset, designed to trigger mass transport away from the networks’ center. Illuminated regions were fully surrounded by network and are chosen to have a size of roughly 30% to 50% of the total network size at the start of recording. Image recording started 60 min before light exposure allowing us to track phase shift evolution over time before complete relocation from the illuminated region, see Fig. 4 A, B.

We bin the distribution of phase differences $\Delta \vartheta$ into time windows of 20 min, once right before the onset of illumination and two sets after onset of illumination, see Fig. 4 C, D, E. Confirming our previous observations of unforced specimen the phase difference distributions before the onset of illumination are peaked around $\Delta \vartheta = 0$. Yet, forcing the specimen with light to increase mass transport we now observe that under blue light illumination the phase difference successively shifts toward $\Delta \vartheta = \pi$, the optimal phase difference for peristaltic pumping, see also [42]. Why is the second harmonic aligned inefficiently around $\Delta \vartheta = 0$ when unforced? And does the living system actively adjust the second harmonic of its peristaltic wave for higher pumping performance?

Our experimental approach allows us to quantify the tube deformation, but not if the deformations’ second harmonic is arising actively or passively. Therefore, from data we cannot infer if the surprising adjustment of phase difference following forcing is an active adaptation of contractions of the living system. It is, however, instructive to compare our observations to the wave shape forming in a visco-elastic tube filled with a viscous fluid driven solely by a dominant wave $F_P \sim \cos(2\pi \xi)$. The tube responds with a second harmonic in deformation arising passively: Following the approach of Takagi and Balmforth [45] and assuming a weakly visco-elastic restoring force $F_E = \frac{Eh}{\nu} \left( \tau_0 \nu + \eta \nu \right)$, with dampening coefficient $\eta$, we expand systematically for small $\nu$, as in [46] to derive dominant and second harmonic of the tube deformation, see [47]. Their phase difference is $\Delta \vartheta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \left( \frac{v^2 - \nu^2}{2 \eta} \right)$ and is constraint between $\Delta \vartheta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. For physiological parameters (see [31]), $\Delta \vartheta \sim 0.1$ close to the observed minimal occlusion in unforced networks. Thus, the non-optimal $\Delta \vartheta \sim 0$ in unforced data is in agreement with a fluid-filled visco-elastic tube with fundamental forcing only. Furthermore, a phase difference outside the range $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ can result only from non-linear material behaviour or active forces. Strain-hardening would drive the phase difference toward $\pi$, in line with our observations for forced specimen, see [47]. Even though geometric non-linear effects remain small, an adaptive non-linear material property may be a convoluted, yet surprisingly efficient way to adapt the phase difference and therewith pumping efficiency. Alternatively the slime mold may actively control its phase difference, i.e. by utilizing two separate oscillators with an adaptive phase relation. The high, and surprisingly stable, amplitude ratio $A_2/A_1$ is indicative for the latter, with the passively arising overtone acting as a reference point when unforced.

Investigating how living systems can control their wave’s performance in a cost efficient manner we here identified the phase-difference between wave harmonics as a subtle but powerful parameter. We find that the performance of peristaltic pumping can be enhanced by over 25% while lowering the elastic energy cost by optimizing the phase difference between the dominant wave and its second harmonic of the contraction wave. Testing for this mechanism in the slime mold *P. polycephalum*, we observe a shift in phase difference toward optimal pumping performance.
performance when forced to transport mass in response to environmental changes. We identify a passive visco-elastic response as a putative source for the overtone and find that non-linear material behaviour can adapt the phase difference efficiently.

Our findings spotlight the important role of higher harmonics in wave phenomena. Higher harmonics intrinsically arise in numerous systems like coupled oscillators [48] or active visco-elastic materials [35, 45]. Living systems, as exemplified here, use the phase difference between harmonics as a key cost efficient parameter to adjust wave performance. Specifically, control may reside in the inherent non-linear material properties that may change upon environmental stimuli [49]. It is inspiring to speculate that life’s bio-chemical machinery can quickly adjust its visco-elastic properties of an actin-cortex, e.g. by controlling the access to globular actin via secondary proteins [50]. A control that could prove powerful to synthetic biology. In conclusion, our findings provide a novel metric for wave phenomena in general, namely the phase difference between wave harmonics. We demonstrate the crucial role and control residing in non-linearities of living systems. Our life-inspired findings on flow control in peristaltic pumping may find direct application in the design of smart materials and soft robotics.
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[27] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for an in-depth description of the phase difference determination including references [19, 29].


[29] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for the time development of individual experiments.


[37] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for quantification of the spatial wave patterns in forced experiments including reference [19].
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[42] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for the derivation of the elastic deformation model including references [43, 44].
[47] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for the derivation of a pertubative approach on peristaltically contracting tubes including references [45, 46].